



**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – THE REVEREND BONNIE POLLEY, CHRIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

**MINUTES:**

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (Excused from Afternoon Session), BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board  
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road  
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway  
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall  
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:09 – 9:10)

**1-1**

REVEREND BONNIE POLLEY, Christ Episcopal Church, gave the invocation.

(9:10 – 9:11)

**1-47**

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:11 – 9:13)

**1-90**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Announcements – Continued

## **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his sincere sympathy to ERIC PAPPÀ, whose brother, BRIAN PAPPÀ, recently passed away.

(9:09 – 9:10)

**1-20**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Video shown - not submitted for the record

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recognized JIM SNYDER of Channel 3 as January's citizen of the month for traveling more than 5,000 miles to Ground Zero to be able to provide updated information to the citizens of Las Vegas. A video (not submitted for the minutes) was presented showing MR. SNYDER'S report from Ground Zero. She noted that MR. SNYDER was one of the producers of the video "Broken Hearts, Unbreakable Spirits." The proceeds of the video sales will benefit the victims of New York.

MR. SNYDER, who was accompanied by his son, RILEY, was honored to be recognized. He thanked photojournalist JUSTIN RUSH who traveled with him and did a wonderful job.

(9:13 – 9:17)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY announced the upcoming Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Parade, in commemoration of one of the greatest individuals that ever lived. Most exciting about this parade is the preparation itself. The Grand Marshals for the parade will be PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONER ROSE MCKINNEY-JAMES, SENATOR JOE NEAL, and MAYOR OSCAR GOODMAN. He urged people to enjoy the festivities peacefully, in honor of a man that was non-violent.

(9:17 – 9:21)

1-277

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23, 45, 46, and 51 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:21 – 9:22)

**1-393**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of December 5, 2001, the Special City Council Meeting of December 3, 2001 and the Recessed Special City Council Meeting of December 5, 2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:22)

1-429

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Accounting Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 11/16/01 - 11/30/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 10,215,651.16
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,571,705.54
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 58,891,497.21
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY advised that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License, From: Rancho Service Corporation, dba Aristocrat Fine Dining, Jan J. Leenders, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: Southwest Nevada Group, LLC, dba Aristocrat Bistro, 840 South Rancho Drive, Suite 7, Steven J. Keeter, Mmbr and Cheryl A. Keeter, Mmbr, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officers and Stockholders for a Tavern Liquor License, Andre's, Inc., dba Andre's, 401 South 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Mary J. Jarvis, Treas, 21.5%, Norbert F. Roblitz, Secy, 14% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officers and Stockholders for a Tavern Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officers for a Package Liquor License, Ralphs Grocery Company, dba Food 4 Less #792, 3602 East Bonanza Road, Ernest J. Burgon, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Secy, Lawrence M. Turner, Treas - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officers for a Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officers for a Package Liquor License, Ralphs Grocery Company, dba Food 4 Less #793, 1941 North Decatur Blvd., Ernest J. Burgon, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Secy, Lawrence M. Turner, Treas, Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officers for a Package Liquor License, Ralphs Grocery Company

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, Mario's Northtown Market, Incorporated, dba Mario's Westside Market, 1960 North Martin L. King Blvd., Maurilio Berlanga, Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, H & M Enterprises, Inc., dba From: Keys of Las Vegas, To: Dakota Grill & Spirits, 1000 East Sahara Ave., Suite 105, Shirlee A. Helton, Pres, 50%, Steven E. Murphy, Secy, Treas, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 10 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Sav-On Drugs #9057, 9350 West Lake Mead Blvd. - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 10 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's #6009, 8410 Farm Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6009, 8490 Farm Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Rebel Slots, Inc., db at Rebel #88, 7191 West Craig Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Robert C. Meade, dba Robert's Riverrock Massage, 3338 Bancroft Circle, Robert C. Meade, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Teisha Tourville, dba Therapeutic Bod E Werx, From: 3225 South Pecos Road, Unit 294, To: 6905 Camp Fire Road, Teisha L. Tourville, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 02.15341.02-LED, Fire Station #44 - Washington & Buffalo and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: J.A. VAY & SONS, INC. (\$2,532,600 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$2,532,600

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This project consists of the construction of a new 10,700 SF fire station with both onsite and offsite improvements. The building is masonry and metal framing, stucco, concrete tile and single ply roof. Project location is 7701 W. Washington, Las Vegas, NV.

The apparent low bidder failed to list all subcontractors as required by NRS 338.141; thus, deeming their bid as non-responsive. As such, their bid is recommended for rejection.

POC: David Aegerter - (702) 399-0277

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the rejection of the bid submitted by Isaac Construction, Inc. as non-responsive and approve the award of Bid Number 02.15341.02-LED, Fire Station #44 to J.A. Vay & Sons, Inc. in the amount of \$2,532,600 and approve a construction & contingency reserve of \$240,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.02-RC, Owens Avenue Improvements Phase 2 - Eastern to Pecos and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$2,015,619 - RTC & Sanitation Funds) - Wards 3 & 5 (Reese & Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$2,015,619

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** RTC & Sanitation Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This project consists of roadway improvements which include demolition, new asphalt pavement & base with Geogrid, new curb & gutter, new sidewalk & ramps, streetlight modifications and conduit, traffic signal modifications, new signage and pavement markings on approximately 5,400 feet of Owens Avenue from Eastern Avenue to Pecos Road.

POC: Robert Mendenhall - (702) 251-5800

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.1730.02-RC, Owens Avenue Improvements Phase 2 - Eastern to Pecos to Las Vegas Paving Corporation in the amount of \$2,015,619 and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve of \$212,250.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for Scott Self-Contained Breathing Apparatuses (KF) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: FIRST IN, INC. (\$62,000 - Special Revenue Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$62,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This requirement is for the purchase of 18 Scott self-contained breathing apparatuses for the Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS).

This item is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may be only contracted from a sole source.

POC: Ken Fila - (800) 352-4266

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for 18 Scott self-contained breathing apparatuses to First In, Inc. in the amount of \$62,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

**1-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of additional funds for Bid Number 02.15241.06-DAR, Muni Pool Hand Hold Tile - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: ADAMS TILE AND PLASTER, d.b.a. ADAMS POOL SOLUTIONS (\$35,824 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$35,824☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations/Fac. Maint.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On December 5, 2001, City Council preapproved the award of this project in the amount of \$42,000 plus contingency amount of \$5,200. However, the lowest bid received on the project exceeded the preapproved amount. The additional funds requested will increase the total award to \$73,024 with the contingency amount of \$10,000 and will enable the award of this contract.

Work consists of replacement of the hand hold tile at the Municipal Pool. The current tile has several areas of breakage that could cause injuries.

POC: Floyd W. Mayes - (702) 365-9772

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the additional funds for Bid Number 02.15241.06-DAR, Muni Pool Hand Hold Tile Replacement in the amount of \$35,824 to facilitate the award to the lowest responsive bidder Adams Tile and Plaster, d.b.a. Adams Pool Solutions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for the manufacture and installation of two (2) Algae Sweep Systems (DR) - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: FORD HALL CO., INC. (\$32,492 - Enterprise Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$32,492

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/Environmental

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for the purchase and installation of an Algae Sweep Automation Debris Control System on two new sludge thickener buildings at the Water Pollution Control Facility.

This request is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(d), Equipment which, by reason of the training of the personnel or of any inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Scott B. Perry - (800) 928-2070

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the manufacture and installation of two (2) Algae Sweep Systems to Ford Hall Co., Inc. in the amount of \$32,492.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of the Detention Center Cabinet Construction Project and approve the contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: PIONEER WOODWORKING, LTD. (\$27,016 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$27,016

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This project includes the construction of custom cabinet work for the Detention Center.

Bids were solicited and the low bid was selected pursuant to NRS 338.1385(2), Public Works bids over \$25,000 but less than \$100,000 can be solicited and awarded by obtaining no more than three quotes.

POC: R.R. Kauffman - (702) 798-3533

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of the Detention Center Cabinet Construction Project to Pioneer Woodworking, Ltd. in the amount of \$27,016 and approve a contingency reserve of \$2,700.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**

**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to contract with Baltimore Life for reinsurance (\$315,000 - Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$315,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Human Resources

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Health Insurance Plan (CHIP) is a partially self-funded Preferred Provider Organization (PPO) plan. Reinsurance is obtained to limit the exposure on both a claims and aggregate perspective. Baltimore Life has submitted the lowest cost reinsurance proposal for calendar year 2002. This rate is a reduction of \$155,700 from the calendar year 2001 rates for reinsurance coverage.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the contract with Standard Life & Accident be approved

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Rate proposal from COMRISK INSURANCE brokers, for Baltimore Life reinsurance proposal for specific excess and aggregate excess insurance

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Approval of the Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Community College of Southern Nevada for the Opportunities for Displaced Workers Program - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The tragic terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 caused irreparable demise which lead to the displacement of workers in the Las Vegas Valley. Through a collaboration with the Community College of Southern Nevada (CCSN), the City of Las Vegas created the Opportunities for Displaced Workers program to meet the needs of workers effected by reduction in force, including reduction in hours, temporary lay-offs or termination in response to the terrorist attacks. The program is a citywide effort to train or re-educate individuals in order to minimize the impact of unemployment, while increasing their chance for employability. The City will utilize existing staff to assist the CCSN with community outreach and identification of potential clientele.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval of the Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Community College of Southern Nevada for the Opportunities for Displaced Workers Program and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement for the Opportunities for Displaced Workers Program

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23, 45, 46, and 51 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:21 – 9:22)

1-393

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to reprogram \$24,500 in FY96 & 99 Federal HOME funds from City of Las Vegas housing projects to Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County (EOB) to purchase parcel number 139-21-510-117, Lots 124B, 124C, and 125A, 800 Blankenship, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$24,500

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** HOME Funds

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

EOB has requested \$24,500 for the purchase of parcel number 139-21-510-117. This parcel will be subdivided into three lots on which to build three single family homes for purchase by low income persons.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends approval of this reprogramming of funds and authorizes the Mayor to execute the Agreements with the Subrecipient after they have been approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Letter dated November 28, 2001 from EOB

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for access easements for ingress and egress purposes on portions of land lying within the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 21, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., located west of the John Herbert Boulevard alignment and south of the Buffalo Drive alignment - 125-21-701-005 - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to file an amendment to Right-of-Way Grant No. N-74967 with the Bureau of Land Management to include additional areas for roadway, sewer and drainage purposes and public utilities for portions of land lying within the North Half (N 1/2) and South Half (S 1/2) of Section 21, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., located on the east side of Conough Lane south of Elkhorn Road, on the south side of Elkhorn Road between Conough Lane and Buffalo Drive, on the west side of Buffalo Drive between Elkhorn Road and the original Deer Springs Way alignment, and for the new Deer Springs Way alignment from the western boundary of Deer Springs Park to Buffalo Drive 125-21-501-002, -601-002 and -701-005 - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

**1-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sanitary Sewer refunding agreement with U.S. Home Corporation for Spanish Springs at Lone Mountain (\$11,647 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$11,647

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Sanitation Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

U.S. Home Corporation is developing property known as "Spanish Springs at Lone Mountain". This Sewer Refunding Agreement provides for over-sizing costs for the Craig Road sewer line. This agreement also includes \$219,746.60 in extension costs for the sewer line in Craig Road, El Capitan Way and Red Coach Avenue, which are reimbursable from future connection fees. The basis for extension and over sizing costs are explained on the attached Exhibit "B". This agreement will reimburse the developer for \$11,647 over sizing costs.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Sewer Refunding Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

**1-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Rose Street Multi-Specialty, LLC, owner (Rose Street north of Pinto Lane) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment will consist of three 4'x4' tree grates on the east side of Rose Street adjacent to the property line which will consist of landscaping and an underground sprinkler system to meet conditions of Z-0020-97(32) for the Rose Street Medical Building. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Rose Street north of Pinto Lane)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (typical section of Rose Street)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Clyde Spitze on behalf of Crossroad Commons, LLC, owner (southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Fort Apache Road) - Ward 2 (L. B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment consists of a 15' wide strip of landscape extending approximately 1,241' along the Charleston Boulevard property line and a 0'-4' wide strip of landscape extending approximately 165' along the Fort Apache Road property line consisting of a meandering sidewalk, ground cover, small trees, shrubs, and a drip irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0034-81(11) for the proposed Crossroad Commons shopping center. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Fort Apache Road)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (typical sections of Charleston Boulevard and Fort Apache Road)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Carter Burgess Engineers on behalf of Palomino Holdings-Parking, LLC, owner (southwest corner of Palomino Lane and Tonopah Drive) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment consists of trees and an irrigation system in tree wells spaced every 30' along the Tonopah Drive and Palomino Lane property lines to satisfy a condition of Z-20-97(31) for the Palomino Holdings parking lot. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southwest corner of Palomino Lane and Tonopah Drive)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

**1-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from RBF Consulting, Incorporated, on behalf of Richmond American Homes of Nevada, Incorporated, owner (Donald Road west of Decatur Boulevard) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment consists of a 5' wide strip of decorative rock on the north side of Donald Road extending approximately 1,125' westward from Buttercup Street (approximately 240' from the Decatur Boulevard centerline) and also at the southwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Decatur Boulevard to satisfy a condition of TM-0023-01 for the proposed Mystic Valley II subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Donald Road at Decatur Boulevard)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (Donald Road)
3. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "C" (Donald Road cul-de-sac)
4. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "D" (southwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Decatur Boulevard)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Mark E. Tomlinson on behalf of Chateau Alexander, LLC, owner (Alexander Road west of the Las Vegas Beltway) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment consists of 35' wide mixed-use trail, in a varying right-of-way width, with desert landscaping on the north side of Alexander Road extending approximately 717' westward from the Las Vegas Beltway to satisfy a condition of Z-0024-99(33) for the proposed Alexander Apartments. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, libality, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Alexander Road west of the Las Vegas Beltway)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

**1-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Karl Munninger on behalf of Clark County Health District, owner (Desert Lane north of Hastings Avenue) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment consists of a sidewalk, trees and an irrigation system in four 4'x4' tree wells with grates along the Desert Lane property line to satisfy a condition of Z-0020-97(34) for a proposed Clark County Health District receiving warehouse. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Desert Lane north of Hastings Avenue)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (typical section of Desert Lane)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-1-2002** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Eleventh Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of the streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Barrington at Summerlin Unit 1.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-1-2002

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-2-2002** - Approval of a Resolution approving the Eleventh Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of the streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Barrington at Summerlin Unit 1.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-2-2002

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of the Interlocal Contract between the City of Las Vegas and UNLV School of Architecture for the lease of property located on the northwest corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Clark Street (Fifth Street School) - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Interlocal Contract between the City and UNLV School of Architecture will expire in January 2002. The City and UNLV, in their collective efforts to provide facilities of higher learning in the downtown area, will renew the agreement between the entities to accomplish this goal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 12/31/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Interlocal Contract
2. Site Maps: Classrooms and Parking

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of the Renewal and Second Amendment of the Interlocal Contract for the Lease of Property located on the northwest corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Clark Street (Fifth Street School) for UNLV Continuing Education - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Interlocal Contract between the City and UNLV School of Continuing Education will expire in January 2002. The City and UNLV, in their collective efforts to provide facilities of higher learning in the downtown area, will renew the agreement between the entities to accomplish this goal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 12/31/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Renewal and Second Amendment
2. Site Maps: Classrooms and Parking

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a lease agreement to lease a portion of the surface parking lot located at the southeast corner of Clark Avenue and Las Vegas Boulevard to Wells Fargo Bank of Nevada, NA (Gain of \$4,320/year - Redevelopment Special Revenue Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** Gain of \$4,320/yr

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** OBD/RDA

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** RDA Special Revenue Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Pursuant to the cancellation of a parking contract between Wells Fargo and the City of Las Vegas on the Clark Street Associates lot, the City offered Wells Fargo parking on the Bull Dog site at the corner of Clark and Las Vegas Boulevard. In an 11/26/01 letter, Corporate Properties Group, on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank, indicated the Bank's desire to lease nine (9) parking spaces on the Bull Dog site.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 12/31/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Lease Agreement, including exhibits consisting of legal description, site map, and Disclosure of Principals

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22 and 24-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 9 because of a potential property interest**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-446

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE****DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a reoccurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Report only, no action required.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:****None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE reported that the City EOC was operational from New Year's Eve at 6:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m., January 1, 2002. Fortunately there were no major problems. The EOC was staffed by emergency and Fire and Rescue personnel. City emergency personnel were also at the County EOC and at the joint information center. Both the Departments of Detention and Enforcement and Fire and Rescue staffed additional personnel at various locations. The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department reported 32 arrests and 115 citations, inclusive of the Strip and Downtown. The numbers for the City are still pending.

She thanked all the people that were involved to provide a calm, safe, and successful New Year's Eve celebration. Specifically, JOE O'ROARKE, PIO, County Information Center; TIM SZYMANSKI, City PIO, TIM McANDREWS, TARINA CLEVENS, JESSICA ACOSTA, and SEAN YOUNG for staffing the City EOC, as well as DEPUTY FIRE CHIEF GREG GAMMON, LT. JEFF DUFRENE of Detention and Enforcement, and DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Administrative

Item 39 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

**MINUTES – Continued:**

She pointed out that the newly created Disaster Medical Assistance Team is comprised of a group of professional and paraprofessional medical personnel to assist in emergency medical care during a disaster or incident. The Team from Nevada is approximately one of sixty-five in the country and is commanded by DR. JAMES TATE, a local surgeon. The City of Las Vegas Fire and Rescue was the first fire service agency to offer assistance to the group and has committed to the use of training classrooms and other resources.

There was no further discussion.

(9:23 – 9:26)

**1-478**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE****DIRECTOR: ELIZABETH FRETWELL**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on a proposed Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to provide for the adoption of an Interlocal Agreement which would establish a joint position on corporate boundaries, annexations, land use planning, transportation planning, parks and trails planning and urban services, and direct staff as deemed appropriate

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas and Clark County have identified a need to create a framework for future growth in the Northwest portion of the Las Vegas Valley. This Interlocal Agreement establishes joint positions on the Corporate Boundaries of the City. It also includes annexation exceptions as identified by the County, future annexation provisions, an agreement to develop a seamless land use and development plan, as well as provisions relating to development review, zoning consistency, joint transportation planning, joint parks and trails planning, and sewer service provisions.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff will follow direction of the City Council.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement

Submitted at the meeting: written comments from Mr. McGowan

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED – Motion carried with GOODMAN voting NO**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

BETSY FRETWELL, Assistant City Manager

TOM MCGOWAN, 720 S. Casino Center Boulevard

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Administrative

Item 40 – Discussion and possible action on a proposed Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to provide for the adoption of an Interlocal Agreement which would establish a joint position on corporate boundaries, annexations, land use planning, transportation planning, parks and trails planning and urban services, and direct staff as deemed appropriate

APPEARANCES – Continued:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK stressed to MR. GENZER that it is important that staff stay involved in the planning process.

(9:26 – 9:49)

**1-581**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**

**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report on the status of the Monorail

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Representatives from the Clark County Regional Transportation Commission will update the Mayor and City Council on the status of the Monorail system.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Report only, no action required

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation given by Mr. Snow

**MOTION:**

None required. A report was given.

**MINUTES:**

JACOB SNOW, Director, Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, used a PowerPoint presentation to update the Council on the status of the Monorail. In reviewing the project partners for this project, he pointed out that Transit Systems Development has been chosen in association with the Las Vegas Monorail Company to be the master systems developer for the monorail, including the design, construction, operation, maintenance, and financing of the project that would be a seamless system with the private sector monorail that is currently under construction at the south end of the Las Vegas Strip.

He noted that one of the challenges with developing rail transit is the increase in local taxes in order to come up with a "local match," because Congress mandates that municipalities pay for half of the cost. However, the stakeholders in this community felt very strongly that the Southern

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Administrative

Item 41 – Report on the status of the Monorail

**MINUTES – Continued:**

Nevada residents should not have to pay twice for a monorail system. The first phase already cost the community \$650 million. Therefore, the partners in this process and the Nevada Congressional Delegation were approached. In particular, SENATOR HARRY REID who as a member of the Senate Transportation Appropriations Subcommittee inserted language in this year's Transportation Appropriations Bill so that the local Valley does not have to meet that 50% local match requirement. He opined that with this language, the local community over time will see over \$1 billion in federal funds for this project. The feds are so impressed with the support of the private sector that they want to showcase this project as the right way of taking on these types of transportation projects.

In order to bring the monorail to the downtown area, efforts are being focused on obtaining federal funding for the City, as well as working with City staff on the relocation of the Downtown Transportation Center in order to tie it in with the monorail system, the potential high-speed train from California, the CAT System, and the AMTRAK train service.

To meet the presented development schedule, MR. SNOW emphasized that all of the listed points must occur as scheduled. If not, the project will be behind at least one year. The completion of the Environmental Impact Statement and the appropriation from Congress are key to being able to meet the schedule. The good news is that everyone involved is in support of the project.

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard, confirmed with MR. SNOW that the monorail is currently under construction.

MAYOR GOODMAN thanked MR. SNOW for his part in accomplishing a seamless transportation system between the private and public sectors, which is imperative to the City. He also thanked the Council members for their support.

There was no further discussion.

(9:49 – 10:04)

**1-1501**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE****DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action regarding the proposed use of the City of Las Vegas Seal, and or the City's name in relation to internet gaming, and direct staff as appropriate

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas has received unsolicited bids from internet gaming companies to explore the possibility of entering into licensing agreements to allow for the use of the City's name and seal on an internet gaming site. During the November 15, 2000 City Council meeting, the Council discussed a similar licensing agreement, and since that time no further action was taken. Subsequently, the State Legislature passed Assembly Bill 466 which set forth provisions to authorize the Nevada Gaming Commission to adopt regulations governing the licensing and operation of interactive internet gaming. Additional discussion and direction from the City Council is necessary at this time as to this issue and to the evaluation of these proposals, as well as to how AB466, and the impending Nevada Gaming Commission regulations may impact the City's actions toward this and other proposals.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

City Council make a determination as to whether or not to proceed with exploring the City's involvement with internet gaming and direct staff.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

City Manager Information Report 075

Submitted at the meeting: written comments from Mr. McGowan

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion directing the City Manager and her staff and the City Attorney and his staff to look into the legalities and any potential problems, to consult with State officials to look into possible benefits to the State, and report back within 30 days (2/6/2002) – carried with L.B. McDONALD voting NO**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Administrative

Item 42 – Discussion and possible action regarding the proposed use of the City of Las Vegas Seal, and/or the City's name in relation to internet gaming, and direct staff as appropriate

**MINUTES – Continued:**

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard

TOMMY RICKETTS, President, Las Vegas City Employees Association

SCOTT FROST, Chairman, Technology Business Alliance of Nevada, and Board Member of the Interactive Gaming Institute

(10:04 – 10:38)

**1-2268**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE****DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on intervention or protest of Nevada Power's filing for the authority to establish a Deferred Energy Accounting Adjustment with the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Nevada Power Company has filed an application with the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada for the authority to establish a Deferred Energy Accounting Adjustment rate to clear accumulated purchased fuel and power costs of \$922 million for the period of March 1, 2001 through September 30, 2001 from its deferred energy account balance over three years. Staff will provide a report regarding the potential effect on the City, as well as supply the Council with the options available concerning position and/or role of the City in the Public Utilities Commission hearing process.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff will follow the direction of the City Council.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – REAFFIRMED the action of CITY MANAGER VALENTINE and directing ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN to proceed and request assistance of outside counsel if needed – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that although his daughter works for Nevada Power Company, it would have no bearing on his vote.

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Administrative

Item 43 – Discussion and possible action on intervention or protest of Nevada Power's filing for the authority to establish a Deferred Energy Accounting Adjustment with the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada

**MINUTES – Continued:**

APPEARANCES:

DOUG SELBY, Deputy City Manager

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN to work with the Consumer Advocate in defending the citizens.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN instructed that he be advised of any internal or external evaluation of the City's franchise agreement with Nevada Power, or any utility, as well as a breakdown of profits and expenditures.

(10:38 – 11:08)

**2-1066**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a general development plan and draft development standards for District Four/Parkway Center of the Downtown Centennial Plan generally located at the intersection of US95 and Interstate I-15 and direct staff accordingly - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Several development plans have been approved or are in development now for the Parkway Center parcels. The City has entered into due diligence with Southwest Sports Group for the city-owned 61 acre parcel, for a mixed-use development. World Market has proposed a 7.5M square foot wholesale furniture mart with related uses. Chelsea Group is pursuing a retail mall, non-gaming hotel, and office towers. The City is pursuing other office, high-tech, and residential uses on other remaining parcels.

Staff will present a general development plan and draft development standards, that will provide guidance for development of the site and provide continuity and connectivity between the site and the existing downtown office core and casino center. City Council to provide direction to staff to continue to pursue this as an amendment to the Downtown Centennial Plan.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED the draft plan and directed staff to work on finalizing the plan – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining because she is a consultant for the Nevada School of Medicine**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN disclosed that in the past he had abstained on anything relating directly or indirectly to the 61 acres because of a financial arrangement with the local Las Vegas Fifty-Ones. However, that employment was terminated effective 12/31/2001, and he

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002**

**Business Development**

Item 44 – Discussion and possible action regarding a general development plan and draft development standards for District Four/Parkway Center of the Downtown Centennial Plan generally located at the intersection of US95 and Interstate I-15 and direct staff accordingly

**MOTION – Continued:**

is awaiting a decision by CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC as to participating in matters relating to future downtown redevelopment. Voting on downtown redevelopment matters will be considered on a case-by-case basis. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that COUNCILMAN BROWN'S private employment relationship with the Las Vegas Fifty-Ones terminated and there is no more financial relationship; therefore, the conflict is removed and participation is no longer prohibited.

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, referred to a document titled Parkway Center/Las Vegas Desert Crossroads and indicated that staff was seeking approval of an overall development concept plan. Staff feels that it is important to establish an overall framework by which to make decisions on more definitive plans as they become apparent. Due to the monorail and other planned transportation improvements coming into the downtown area, it would be prudent for the City to look at the overall property and the individual elements from the following aspects: views, heights, setbacks, landscapes, and pedestrian and trail connectivity. This property is very significant and has received dynamic attention.

She felt comfortable in recommending the draft development standards to be used as an interim tool, because the same development standards were adopted and approved by the City Council for the Chelsea property. She requested the Council direct staff to finalize the plans submitted and subsequently brought back to the Council for consideration and adoption.

MAYOR GOODMAN opined that it is critical for the City to define the development for the area and that this is a great start. However, he was a little concerned with the reflection of a sports stadium in the chart, because he would not want anyone to think that an agreement or commitment has been made. MS. CODER pointed out that the conceptual development plan is not binding in any way. As a representative of City Parkway IV and V, the present owners of the property, she indicated that the City Council nor any of those corporations have made any final determinations about whether a baseball stadium could or would be built in that location. The intent is to use the plan as a steppingstone to the next par of a more defined development concept. Staff should be back before the City Council on 1/16/2002 to report the status in that particular process.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Business Development

Item 44 - Discussion and possible action regarding a general development plan and draft development standards for District Four/Parkway Center of the Downtown Centennial Plan generally located at the intersection of US95 and Interstate I-15 and direct staff accordingly

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that he is really concerned about the location of the Chelsea Group and furniture market projects. One of the reasons he was so interested in doing business with Southwest is because the company's architect did a wonderful job of connecting the present downtown with the future downtown. He hopes that kind of thinking continues as the area is planned.

There was no further discussion.

(11:08 – 11:16)

**3-55**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Denise Jung-Reyes, 8616 Journal Court, Las Vegas, NV 89117

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Appellant Letter of Appeal
2. City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23, 45, 46, and 51 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:21 – 9:22)

1-393



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Nira Lynn Estrada, P.O. Box 669, Las Vegas, NV 89125

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Appellant Letter of Appeal
2. City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23, 45, 46, and 51 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:21 – 9:22)

1-393

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Memphis Championship Barbeque No. 3, dba Memphis Championship Barbeque No. 3, 4949 North Rancho Drive, Charles R. Hart, Jr., Dir, Pres, 30%, James M. Mills, Dir, Secy, 30%, Carlos D. Silva, Treas, 10%, Danny O. Volland, 30% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Charles R. Hart, Jr.
3. Map

**MOTION**

**MACK – APPROVED a temporary license, as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the application is for a private enterprise to be situated in the Santa Fe Hotel and Casino. He once abstained on a Santa Fe item related to advertising due to a personal relationship he has with the Station Casinos Advertising Executive. However, this item has nothing to do with the Santa Fe, so he felt comfortable participating. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC noted his concurrence and indicated that he wrote the aforementioned language.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 47 – Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Department regulations, Memphis Championship Barbeque No. 3, dba Memphis Championship Barbeque No. 3, 4949 North Rancho Drive, Charles R. Hart, Jr., Dir, Pres, 30%, James M. Mills, Dire, Secy, 30%, Carlos D. Silva, Treas, 10% Danny O. Volland, 30%

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY STEVE STEIN, 520 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared representing Memphis Championship Barbeque and was accompanied by MR. SILVA and MR. VOLLAND. ATTORNEY STEIN noted that the four gentlemen on this application have already been licensed in the County at their two other Memphis Championship Barbeque locations. MR. VOLLAND and MR. HART have been previously licensed for liquor through the Village Shops, Inc.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license and recommended approval.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the restaurant would be a welcome addition to the Northwest. COUNCILMAN REESE wished ATTORNEY STEIN a happy New Year.

There was no further discussion.

(11:16 – 11:19)

**3-324**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6009, 8490 Farm Road, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Dara J. Goldsmith, Esq.
3. Map

**MOTION**

**MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

DARA GOLDSMITH, 2500 W. Sahara Avenue, appeared representing Albertson's.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:19 – 11:21)

**3-439**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's Inc., dba Albertson's #6009, 8410 Farm Road, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Dara J. Goldsmith, Esq.
3. Map

**MOTION**

**MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

DARA GOLDSMITH, 2500 W. Sahara Avenue, appeared representing Albertson's.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license. He recommended approval.

COUNCILMAN MACK said that Albertson's has done a great job with the aesthetics of their stores in the Northwest.

There was no further discussion.

(11:21 – 11:22)

3-488

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, **NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #109 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00 - Ward 6 (Mack)**

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject the the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002], Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M., and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2) – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, MACK abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:19 – 1:34)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, **NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #109 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00 - Ward 6 (Mack)**

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23, 45, 46, and 51 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:21 – 9:22)

1-393

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: Kurtis Killinsworth, dba Basic Bargain, Kurtis K. Killinsworth, 100%, To: SELV, Inc., dba Friendly Market, 823 East Ogden Ave., Basim Shabo, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be provided as part of the discussion on the agenda item.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to a six-month review, that any arrests or citations that occur on the premises involving MR. SHABO, his employees, or his patrons be reported within 48 hours in writing to Business Services; and that the license be surrendered and the sale of beer and wine will cease, pending a public hearing before the Council, if any information developed during the six-months reveals a violation of the business licensing code – **UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, outlined his recommended conditions.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he had the opportunity to meet with MR. SHABO and discuss some of the issues he had. He reminded MR. SHABO of the commitment he made to



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 52 – Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: Kurtis Killinsworth, dba Basic Bargain, Kurtis K. Killinsworth, 100%, To: SELV, Inc., dba Friendly Market, 823 East Ogden Ave., Basim Shabo, Dir., Pres., Secy., Treas., 100%

**MINUTES – Continued:**

improve the store and stressed the importance of not allowing drug paraphernalia or selling single cigarettes and alcohol to minors, nor allowing them to gamble. He noted that he would also prefer that some of the current signage be removed. MR. SHABO pledged to do his best to improve the store.

There was no further discussion.

(11:22 – 11:27)

**3-521**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of qualified general contractors for the period January 2, 2002 through January 1, 2004 pursuant to City of Las Vegas Qualification Plan

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance/Purchasing☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On October 3, 2001, a public hearing was held on the City of Las Vegas Qualification Plan & Application to solicit comments regarding qualifying bidders for certain Public Work (PW) projects pertaining to buildings, parks, and other non-right-of-way construction projects over \$100,000. At the same meeting, Council approved the Qualification Plan & Application; thereby facilitating staff's ability to accept, review and evaluate applications & qualify/disqualify bidders as warranted. Qualified general contractors have been identified to bid on the previously referenced types of PW projects.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve qualified general contractors for the period January 2, 2002 through January 1, 2004.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

Submitted at the meeting: list Mr. Herlean's recommendations, cover letter with attached Release of all Claims from Deputy City Attorney Bryan Scott, letter dated 11/2/1998 from Attorney Theodore Parker, III, booklet titled Hardy Painting & Drywall/Hardy Construction, Inc., Wage Violation Presentation submitted by Mr. O'shea of Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters, and packet with letter and attachments addressed to Mr. Herlean from Richardson Construction, Inc.

**MOTION**

**REESE – APPROVED company numbers 1-29, as submitted, ABEYING qualification consideration of B&H Construction to 1/16/2002, disqualifying Hardy Construction, Inc., and qualifying Richardson construction including permanently occupied buildings – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 53 – Discussion and possible action regarding approval of qualified general contractors for the period January 2, 2002 through January 1, 2004 pursuant to City of Las Vegas Qualification Plan

**MINUTES – Continued:**

APPEARANCES:

GREG HERLEAN, Manager, Purchasing and Contracts Division

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19<sup>th</sup> Street

THEODORE PARKER, Attorney, representing Richardson Construction

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, Public Works Department

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

DANIEL O'SHEA, Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters, 501 N. Lamb Boulevard

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to look closely at the Doolittle project.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN stressed to MR. HERLEAN that better records need to be made in order to disqualify a company.

(11:27 – 12:04)

**3-708**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding award of City of Las Vegas lighting alteration/retrofit project and approve the contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: POSITIVE ENERGY (\$26,988 - General Fund) - Wards 3 & 5 (Reese & Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$26,988☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This project includes the alteration/retrofitting of lighting and ballast in Fire Station #8, the Fire Training Building and the Natural History Museum for energy savings.

Bids were solicited and the low bid was selected pursuant to NRS 338.1385(2), Public Works bids over \$25,000 but less than \$100,000 can be solicited and awarded by obtaining no more than three quotes.

POC: Spike Atkinson - (626) 914-6848

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of alteration/retrofitting lighting project to Positive Energy in the amount of \$26,988 and approve a contingency reserve of \$2,700.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

GREG HERLEAN, Manager, Purchasing and Contracts Division, and LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director, Field Operations, were present. MR. HAUGSNESS reported that the contract provides for lighting retrofits at Fire Station No. 8, Fire Training Center, and Natural History Museum, which will include rebates from Nevada Power in the amount of \$3,056 when completed. The project will result in savings of \$12,762 per year, after they have paid for themselves. With this project, the City's total energy savings amounts to over \$535,000 on an annualized basis. He greatly commended ORLANDO SANCHEZ, Chairman, Energy Conservation Committee, for all his fine work in being proactive on these types of projects.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Public Works

Item 54 – Discussion and possible action regarding award of City of Las Vegas lighting alteration/retrofit project and approve the contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services – Department of Field Operations – Award recommended to: Positive Energy

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN REESE commended MR. SANCHEZ as well and said it was very good news.

There was no further discussion.

(12:04 – 12:06)

**3-2437/4-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on a request to install speed humps on Osage Avenue between Lena Street and Pecos Road (\$10,500 - Neighborhood Traffic Management Program) - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$10,500☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Traffic Engineering☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Neighborhood Traffic Management Program**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A traffic study conducted on this roadway showed that the 85th percentile speed was 32 mph, and there were 998 vehicles per day traveling the street. Osage Avenue is a 25 mph residential roadway with a single travel lane in each direction and a school zone. It meets the warrants for speed humps, but it is a major response route for emergency vehicles.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff: Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended, with an additional speed hump to be placed on Sequoia Avenue before the curb – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting**

**MINUTES:**

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, stated that the street met warrants for speed humps. Staff recommended approval.

COUNCILMAN REESE questioned why the speed humps are not being installed to Owens Avenue. He felt a speed hump should also be placed on Sequoia Avenue before the curb to slow down speeders. MR. GOECKE stated that could be accomplished with no problem.

There was no further discussion.

(12:06 – 12:08)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on proceeding with the implementation of a development impact fee system for the construction of traffic signals - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Traffic; Special Projects☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Impact fees are an alternative funding source for infrastructure improvements. A recent feasibility study concluded that traffic signals would be an eligible discipline for using an impact fee system. Representatives of the development industry support this new system as being a more suitable way to make growth pay for growth with respect to traffic signal improvements.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval to proceed with the implementation of this alternative funding system subject to conditions

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting**

**MINUTES:**

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, explained that NRS 278 allows the imposition of impact fees for a variety of road projects. The current impact fees imposed are for traffic signals, but it is not being done exactly in accordance with NRS 278. The development community fully understands that and has suggested that the City comply with NRS 278 with respect to traffic signals. He requested approval to develop impact fees just for traffic signals. The Southern Nevada Homebuilders Association is also in support.

MAYOR GOODMAN was pleased to see that the City has the support of the Homebuilders Association.

There was no further discussion.

(12:08 – 12:10)

4-113

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Manager to execute a Revocable License for Non-Federal Use of Real Property with the U.S. General Services Administration for possession of the Federal Building and Post Office located at 301 E. Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002) - (\$45,000 - General Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$45,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Facilities Management☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Revocable License Agreement for Non-Federal Use of Real Property (License Agreement) with the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) will give the City immediate possession of the Federal Building and Post Office (the Post Office). If executed, the License Agreement will give the City temporary possession of the Post Office until full acquisition and conveyance of the building from the GSA is completed, estimated to be 3-6 months.

Under the License Agreement the City will be responsible for the operations and maintenance costs for the Post Office during the period of temporary possession. The accumulated amount operations and maintenance costs may be up to \$45,000 depending upon when the acquisition and conveyance of the Post Office is completed. An agenda item will be forthcoming to complete the acquisition and conveyance of the Post Office from the GSA.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 12/31/2001 Real Estate Committee forwarded this item to Council with no recommendation. Staff recommends authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement approved by City Attorney as to form

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda memo
2. Site map

Submitted at the meeting: backup documentation titled Parkway Center: Las Vegas' Desert Crossroads



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002**

**Real Estate**

Item 57 – Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Manager to execute a Revocable License for Non-Federal Use of Real Property with the U.S. General Services Administration for possession of the Federal Building and Post Office located at 301 E. Stewart Avenue

**MOTION**

**GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that approval of the license agreement would allow the City to take possession of the “Post Office” property and utilization of the building’s excess area, which is not presently occupied. It would also permit unlimited access, which has been rather restrictive due to security. There are many people that are gaining interest in the property, either as future fundraisers or as potential tenants, should the City follow through with the acquisition.

In addition, some of the space could be utilized for on-site staging for programming and developments that would require full development of not just this property, but also the surrounding property for the downtown urban park.

If it took three months to acquire the property, the General Services Administration (GSA) indicates that about \$11,400 is needed to cover the operations and maintenance costs. None of the discussions with GSA have been conclusive about whether they would be willing to prorate the operation and maintenance costs and pay it as the interim measure as the City works toward acquisition and following acquisition. If those costs are prorated, it would result in a significant savings.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that he had a meeting with SENATOR HARRY REID, who is very interested in acquiring the property because it is very important to the City’s downtown plans. However, he felt the matter should be held, pending ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL’S discussion with the postal authorities at the Conference of Mayors, because apparently they have not been included in the discussions. MS. CODER interjected that discussions have been held with the postal authorities. Nevertheless, MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he did not want to vote on the matter because to his understanding the postal authorities have a lease at the site for an extended period of time that they intend to enforce. He just wants to make sure he has the total facts.

MS. CODER clarified that she personally had meetings with TERI FELIX, General Manager for Real Estate for the United States Postal Service, who indicated that they would be more than willing to stay or consider an alternative location within the downtown area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Real Estate

Item 57 – Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Manager to execute a Revocable License for Non-Federal Use of Real Property with the U.S. General Services Administration for possession of the Federal Building and Post Office located at 301 E. Stewart Avenue

**MINUTES - Continued:**

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he is more concerned with whether the cost of maintaining about 1100 feet of property during the interim period, as was reported at the Real Estate Committee meeting, could be recouped. He recommended the matter be held for further discussion on the Mayor's concern and on a possible pro rata relationship with GSA.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MS. CODER that holding the matter would not delay the project.

There was no further discussion.

(12:10 – 12:17)

4-182

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-119** – Annexation No. A-0024-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 207.25 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-119 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5396 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:17 – 12:18)

4-902

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-120** – Annexation No. A-0025-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.29 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (SC) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-120 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5397 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:18)

4-432

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-121** – Annexation No. A-0005-01(A) – Property Location: 150 feet south of Brooks Avenue and 130 feet east of Maverick Street; Petitioned By: Christopher and Sandra Payan; Acreage: 0.51 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 150 feet south of Brooks Avenue and 130 feet east of Maverick Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-121 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5398 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:18 – 12:19)

4-448

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-122** – Annexation No. A-0018-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Smith Street, 250 feet south of Vegas Drive; Petitioned By: Keith Cole; Acreage: 0.49 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Smith Street, 250 feet south of Vegas Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-122 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5399 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:19)

4-468

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-123** – Annexation No. A-0019-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Fisher Avenue; Petitioned By: Ray and Laurie Newmiller, et al; Acreage: 1.92 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Fisher Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-123 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5400 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:19 – 12:20)

4-487

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-124** – Annexation No. A-0056-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Elkhorn Road, 700 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: Pardee Construction Company; Acreage: 2.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Elkhorn Road, 700 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-124 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5401 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:20 – 12:21)

4-506



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-125** – Annexation No. A-0057-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Severence Lane, 330 feet west of Tee Pee Lane; Petitioned By: Perma-Bilt Homes; Acreage: 5.07 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Severence Lane, 330 feet west of Tee Pee Lane. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-125 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5402 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:21)

4-524

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-126** – Annexation No. A-0072-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Coke Street and Racel Street; Petitioned By: Zachary and Cara Clay; Acreage: 1.33 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Coke Street and Racel Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-126 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5403 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:21 – 12:22)

4-542

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-127** – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1474 - Rainbow Boulevard (Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$251,133.01

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, and water and sewer laterals. The entire project length of Rainbow Boulevard from Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road is located in Wards 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6; however the properties involved in the SID are located in Wards 4 and 5.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-127

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5404 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:22)

4-563

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-128** – Amends the Zoning Code to increase allowable wall heights in residential districts and eliminate the corresponding administrative deviation procedures. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code currently establishes a maximum height of six feet for block walls in a rear yard or side yard, but allows the height of a wall to be increased to a maximum of eight feet by obtaining an administrative deviation. A change in State law this past session (AB 553) makes this procedure unavailable. This bill will eliminate the availability of the administrative deviation procedure for block walls, but will amend the Code to authorize rear-yard and side-yard walls to be built to a maximum height of eight feet.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-128

**MOTION**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5405 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:22 – 12:23)

4-582

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-133** – Annexation No. A-0017-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue; Petitioned By: John and Lorinda Fowler; Acreage: 0.63 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 12/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-133 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 1/16/2002 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested the matter be held in abeyance because the property may not qualify under the just approved Interlocal Agreement between the City and County.

There was no further discussion.

(12:23 – 12:25)

4-600

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-135** – Annexation No. A-0050-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Ahey Road, 280 feet west of Buffalo Drive; Petitioned By: Nevada Homes Group; Acreage: 2.63 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Ahey Road, 280 feet west of Buffalo Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: The proposed use for this property is a sixteen unit single-family detached villa retirement community.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 12/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-135 and Location Map

**MOTION**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5406 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Recommending  
Item 69 – Bill No. 2001-135

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:25)  
4-665

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-139** – Amends the Town Center Development Standards Manual as it relates to residential development. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On November 7, 2001, the Council adopted a revised version of the Town Center Development Standards Manual by means of Bill No. 2001-100. As originally introduced, the revision included changes relating to both commercial and residential development, but the Council voted to adopt only the changes related to commercial development and to have the residential changes brought back later to respond to concerns raised by the development community. The residential elements were presented to the Council on December 5, 2001, and are now brought back in ordinance form for formal adoption.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 12/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2001-139
2. Incorporated Amendments to the Town Center Development Standards Manual

**MOTION**

**WEEKLY** – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5407 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Recommending

Item 70 – Bill No. 2001-139

**MINUTES:**

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED explained that the residential standards were brought back separate from the commercial, and staff indicated it would be prudent to add a provision saying that in the event of any conflict between the residential and commercial standards, that residential would apply. The amendment also authorizes the Planning and Development Department director to determine the extent of any conflict and includes new sections to indicate how conflicts would be resolved.

There was no further discussion.

(12:25 – 12:27)

**4-689**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-143** – Adopts special sign standards applicable to a portion of the Downtown Casino Overlay District. Sponsored by: Councilmen Lawrence Weekly and Gary Reese

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will adopt sign standards and procedures for a special sub-district within the Downtown Casino Overlay District. The proposed sub-district includes the area bounded by Ogden Avenue on the north, Las Vegas Boulevard on the east, Carson Avenue on the south, and Main Street on the west. The standards and procedures will both allow and encourage neon and animated signage consistent with the City's plans for the area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 12/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-143

**MOTION**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5408 – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that she did not have to abstain on the matter, as it does not apply to the 61 acres, where UMC, the company COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD consults for, has an interest.

Clerk to proceed with second publication

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Recommending

Item 71 – Bill No. 2001-143

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, his staff, and the Planning Commissioners for all their time and effort on the language for this Bill.

There was no further discussion.

(12:27 – 12:28)

**4-748**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-145** – Increases the compensation payable to members of the Planning Commission as authorized by State law. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$4,400**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Planning & Dev./Curr. Planning**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

During this year's session, the Nevada Legislature adopted a bill authorizing local governments to increase from \$50 to \$80 per meeting the amount of compensation payable to planning commissioners. This bill will implement that change for the City's Planning Commissioners.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 12/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-145

**MOTION**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5409 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED requested the inclusion of an additional section to make the Bill effective October 1, 2001.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Recommending

Item 72 – Bill No. 2001-83

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the increase was mandated. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED replied that the legislature authorized local governments to increase the Planning Commissioners' compensation. Due to some confusion, that increase took effect in October, which is why he requested the amendment be effective as of October 1, 2001.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that the Planning Commissioners work very hard and earn every cent paid to them.

There was no further discussion.

(12:28 – 12:30)

4-789

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-83** – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill was held in abeyance to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-115** – Requires certain disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill would require a number of disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Among the items that would have to be disclosed to the buyer are the zoning and land use classifications applicable to the property and surrounding areas, any existing or proposed gaming enterprise districts in the area, existing or proposed assessments, structural defects, and any soils reports regarding the property. Disclosures would have to be documented in writing or by videotape.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill was held in abeyance to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee  
1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE:** BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-129** - Provides for the removal and disposition of abandoned shopping carts.  
Sponsored by: Councilmen Michael J. McDonald and Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** Undetermined\*

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill is intended to address the problem of abandoned shopping carts more comprehensively than in the past. Cart removal by patrons will continue to be prohibited, but, in addition, the responsibility for cart retrieval will be placed on the stores that provide shopping carts. The bill will allow stores to retrieve their own carts, either upon notice or in connection with an ongoing retrieval program, but will also give the City the option of retrieving abandoned carts and charging fees and penalties relating to removal and storage. The City has sought input from the affected parties and prepared a Business Impact Statement based upon an earlier version prepared for Bill No. 2001-5.

\*Potential annual costs to the City will depend on compliance, with costs to be partially offset by fees and penalties.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill was held in abeyance to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee  
1/16/2002 Council Agenda



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-130** – Annexation No. A-0025-00(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way; Petitioned By: Serene Investments; Acreage: 1.46 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (R) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-131** – Annexation No. A-0006-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard; Petitioned By: Kenneth and Kathryn Cypert; Acreage: 1.12 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-132** – Annexation No. A-0016-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive; Petitioned By: Nannette Prlina; Acreage: 0.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-134** – Annexation No. A-0048-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road; Petitioned By: Fiona Roberts and Carl Pappalardo; Acreage: 2.58 acres; Zoned: R-E (ROI to RNP1) (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-136** – Annexation No. A-0053-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: Mark Sharp, et al.; Acreage: 5.07 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-137** – Annexation No. A-0055-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Reginald and Alfrieda Scott; Acreage: 5.05 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L-TC) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-138** – Annexation No. A-0064-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive; Petitioned By: A & A Mountanos 1999 Revocable Living Trust; Acreage: 2.66 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (ML) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-140** – Conforms the City's campaign contribution and campaign expenditure reporting requirements to those of State law. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Municipal Code's definitions and treatment of campaign contribution and campaign expenditures have differed from those described in the Nevada Revised Statutes. This bill will amend the Code to make the definitions and reporting requirements consistent with those of State law.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill was held in abeyance to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-141** – Adopts the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will adopt the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-142** – Adopts the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.  
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will adopt the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-144** – Annexation No. A-0045-99(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street; Petitioned By: Eagle Dancer, L.L.C.; Acreage: 16.31 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners' predecessor-in-interest. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: A single family subdivision has been developed on this site.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-146** – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1469 – 4<sup>th</sup> Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 5 (Weekly) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$50,771.11

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2002-1** – Annexation No. A-0023-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 20.25 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored By: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2002-1
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

**4-865**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2002-2** – Annexation No. A-0024-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 1,370 feet west of Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.38 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 1,370 feet west of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No 2002-2
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

**4-865**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

**Bill No. 2002-3** – Annexation No. A-0025-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 660 feet east of the Shaumber Road alignment; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 10.69 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 660 feet east of the Shaumber Road alignment. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2002-3
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

4-865

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

**Bill No. 2002-4** – Annexation No. A-0026-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Grand Teton Road, approximately 1,000 feet west of Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 99.67 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Grand Teton Road, approximately 1,000 feet west of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2002-4
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

4-865



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

**Bill No. 2002-5** – Annexation No. A-0028-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Grand Teton Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 10.56 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Grand Teton Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2002-5
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

**4-865**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

**Bill No. 2002-6** – Annexation No. A-0029-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Farm Road; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.14 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Farm Road. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2002-6
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

4-865

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

**Bill No. 2002-7** – Annexation No. A-0062-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Buffalo Drive and Alexander Road; Petitioned By: Howard John Needham, et al; Acreage: 7.90 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Buffalo Drive and Alexander Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2002-7
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

4-865

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

**Bill No. 2002-8** – Annexation No. A-0065-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Campbell Road, approximately 300 feet south of Log Cabin Way; Petitioned By: Hannah Living Trust, et al; Acreage: 5.12 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Campbell Road, approximately 300 feet south of Log Cabin Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2002-8
2. Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

4-865

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2002-9** – Establishes a monorail master business license for monorails built in the City.  
Proposed By: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A monorail master business license will allow the operation of multiple and diverse types of businesses by a monorail owner which ordinarily would require multiple, non-regulatory licenses in addition.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2002-9

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

**4-865**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2002-10** – Prohibits liquor licensees from furnishing alcoholic beverages to minors.

Sponsored by: Councilman Gary Reese

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Although the City Code currently prohibits the consumption of alcohol by minors, it does not prohibit liquor licensees from furnishing alcohol to minors. This bill will add such a prohibition based upon similar language in the Clark County Code.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2002-10

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

**4-865**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2002-11** – Revises the zoning regulations that pertain to wireless communication facilities. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will revise the zoning regulations related to wireless communication facilities. It will encourage 1) the use of “stealth” facilities that blend in with the surroundings, and 2) the co-location of facilities. Generally, new facilities that do not qualify as “stealth” facilities will require the approval of a special use permit, subject to conditions to minimize the impact of the facilities.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2002-11

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

**4-865**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2002-12** – Amends the Zoning Code and other Municipal Code provisions regarding vehicle parking and storage restrictions in residential areas. Proposed by: Sharon Segerblom, Director of Neighborhood Services

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will update the Zoning Code and other City Code regulations regarding the parking and storage of vehicles in residential areas. The bill incorporates suggestions made by enforcement staff and by individuals and groups involved in automobile collection and restoration.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2002-12

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

4-865



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2002-13** – Reinstates the requirement that certain appointed City employees file a gift disclosure statement. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Earlier this year the Council adopted an ordinance to revise certain provisions of the City's Ethics Ordinance. That ordinance eliminated the requirement that certain appointed employees (Deputy Director or higher) file financial disclosure statements. This bill will reinstate the requirement for those employees to file a disclosure statement as to disclosable gifts they have received.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2002-13

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee

1/16/2002 Council Agenda

(12:30 – 12:32)

**4-865**

**THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 12:32 P.M.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M., and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)]**  
**– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, MACK abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN verified that the overhead was working and that the City Council meeting was being televised. He announced that on Monday, January 7, 2002, 5:00 p.m., he would be making the State of the City Address at the Charleston Heights Art Center, 800 Brush Street. He invited all the constituents to attend.

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that he would be having a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues regarding Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting. He also requested that Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting, as he has requested additional information from the Public Works Department. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he would like the opportunity to get the residents' input, since he has not had a chance to meet with them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

City Clerk

Item 101 – Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS that the situation with Item 50 and 51 had been handled. He disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications. He would also be abstaining from voting when these items come forward on 1/16/2002. MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 as well. His law partner has an interest in that particular project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the issue with Items 105 and 118 through 121 had been the mailing during the holidays, and that he was unable to meet with many of the residents. He is aware that a gentleman came down to speak on these items and he met with him during the lunch break. He requested that those people that came down to speak on these items be given the courtesy to speak, with the understanding that he would be holding public hearings on all those items throughout the neighborhoods. MAYOR GOODMAN granted COUNCILMAN BROWN his request by bringing forward Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)].

There was no further discussion.

(1:19 – 1:34)

**5-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at Northwest corner of Cimarron Road and Windrush Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: DAYBREAK CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,926.25☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removing all trash, debris, branches/limbs, asphalt piles and shopping carts from the vacant lots. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,926.25 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 102 – Northwest corner of Cimarron Road and Windrush Avenue

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the property in question. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation, and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by the removal of all trash, debris, tree limbs, asphalt material, and shopping carts. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,926.25 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:37 – 1:38)

**5-500**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at Southwest corner of Stars End Street and Starfinder Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: STARFIRE VI LLC - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,170.82☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removing all concrete slab pieces, overgrown weeds, discarded wood and building materials from this vacant parcel. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,170.82 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 103 – Southwest corner of Stars End Street and Starfinder Avenue

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired low bidder Weaver Construction to abate the problem. The Property was corrected by removing all concrete slabs, overgrown weeds, building materials, and discarded wood. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,170.82 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

JEANNIE WINKLER stated that she is not a representative for Starfire VI, LLC, but represents Starfire VI Owners Association, which is the homeowners association for that condominium. She thanked Neighborhood Services for taking care of this problem and supports their actions wholeheartedly.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:38 – 1:41)

**5-568**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 2201 Westlund Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: JAMES N. GLUNT - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,150.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing and boarding all windows, doors and openings to the building, removing all discarded furniture, appliances, lumber, high grass/weeds, overgrown/dead vegetation, scattered litter, trash and debris, and posting a "No trespassing" sign on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,150.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 104 – 2201 Westlund Drive

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired low bidder Weaver Construction to abate the problem. The property was corrected by securing and boarding all windows, doors and openings leading into the building and removing all trash and discarded furniture. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,150.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked about the status of Neighborhood Services' getting together with lenders to get these properties rebuilt and resold. MR. SEMENZA replied that Neighborhood Services always contacts the lien holder if there is one. Usually the problem is that there is a long period of time before they can actually take possession of the property. The City steps in to do the cleaning and secure the property. MR. FARLOW suggested that these boarded properties be refurbished and made available to those people who do not have homes. A system needs to be implemented for lenders to come up with ways of making available some sort of down payment for the property. It is ludicrous to have so many boarded properties when there are people in need of homes. MAYOR GOODMAN verified with DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY that the City is in the process of exploring remedies similar to what MR. FARLOW suggested. In fact, other communities are farther along than the City, and they actually have private development corporations that receive these properties. The role that the City might play is to help facilitate those transfers and not actively be involved in obtaining ownership of the property.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:41 – 1:45)

**5-638**

**p[AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

***CONSENT AGENDA***

NO ITEMS

***DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS***

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING

**105 Z-0016-96(7)** - H H F, Inc. on behalf of Nevada Homes Group, Inc.

**106 Z-0059-00(3)** - WPI – Ann/Decatur, Limited Liability Company

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

**107 VAC-0040-01** - Howard Hughes Corporation

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

**108 V-0075-01** - Matrix Construction Consulting

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

**109 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0031-00** - American Store Properties, Inc.

**110 U-0131-01** - Hadley Enterprises Restaurant Fund on behalf of Show Media, Limited Liability Company

**111 U-0139-01** - Greengale Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Jeff Gale

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

**112 Z-0080-01** - David Litvak & Veronika Holmes

**113 Z-0078-01** - Bureau of Land Management on behalf of Clark County School District

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0078-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

**114 Z-0078-01(1)** - Bureau of Land Management on behalf of Clark County School District

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

**115 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0007-61(2)** - WPI-Owens, Limited Liability Company on behalf of World Premier Investments

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

**116 GPA-0024-01** - Wilder Family Trust, et al

# *City of Las Vegas*

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of January 2, 2002

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0024-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
117 **Z-0051-01** - Wilder Family Trust, et al

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING  
118 **GPA-0043-01** - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
119 **Z-0077-01** - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 AND Z-0077-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
120 **U-00134-01** - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0043-01, Z-0077-01 AND U-0134-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
121 **Z-0077-01(1)** - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-96(7) - H H F, INC. ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Review of Condition to Conditions #2, #3, #4, #6, and #8 TO ALLOW RELIEF FROM PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CONDITIONS; Conditions #11, #12, #13, #14, #17, and #19 TO ALLOW RELIEF FROM PUBLIC WORKS CONDITIONS; and Conditions #20, #21, #22, #25, #27, #28 and #30 TO ALLOW RELIEF FROM PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CONDITIONS of an APPROVED REZONING (Z-0016-96) of 5.23 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Gowan Road and Jensen Street (APN: 138-07-301-006), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development)] under Resolution of Intent to RPD-9 (Residential Planned Development - 9 Units per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: A petition with 63 signatures requesting that this item be postponed for two weeks

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M., and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)]**  
– **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, **MACK** abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and **M. McDONALD** excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 105 – Z-0016-96(7)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that he would be having a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues regarding Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting. He also requested that Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] also be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting, as he has requested additional information from the Public Works Department. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he would like the opportunity to get the residents' input, since he has not had a chance to meet with them.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the issue with Items 105 and 118 through 121 had been the mailing during the holidays, and that he was unable to meet with many of the residents. He is aware that a gentleman came down to speak on these items and he met with him during the lunch break. He requested that those people that came down to speak on these items be given the courtesy to speak, with the understanding that he would be holding public hearings on all those items throughout the neighborhoods. MAYOR GOODMAN granted COUNCILMAN BROWN his request by bringing forward Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing Open.

PAUL WAGNER, Nevada Homes Group, stated that due to the holidays, the residents were not aware of the type of product being presented. Currently this is zoned as nine units per acre and the proposal is to lessen the density and go from a condominium town home project to a single-family residence gated community. A single-family home development will generate less traffic than a condominium or apartment project. The grading will also be reduced to make the homes even lower than if they were townhomes. Seven similar projects have been completed in other communities in the City. He invited residents to visit one of those communities located at Lake Mead and Leonard. MR. WAGNER indicated that he submitted the house plans showing single and two-story developments. The price range will be up to \$180,000, a higher price than a condominium development. This would also improve the underlying area. Secondly, because of the adjacent neighborhood, many neighbors were concerned that the two-story homes would be built adjacent to their property. However, on half of the project, there are only single-story homes and the other half is mixed, depending on the homebuyers wanting a single or two-story home. He provided a line-up site for COUNCILMAN BROWN to show the neighbors, and if his presence is required he would be happy to attend the neighborhood meetings.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 105 – Z-0016-96(7)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that during the lunch break, he met with some residents of this particular area and that the issue arose due to misinformation. Additionally, on Friday, a petition was submitted with 70 signatures. They were unaware of the original approval and that the project's density would be reduced. COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked the applicant for agreeing to the two-week abeyance.

PETER JOFFRE, 3720 Jensen Street, did not appear in opposition, but was concerned with the catch basin that has an outlet onto Gowan Road. If a road were built at this location, accidents would be unavoidable. It is very dangerous for him to come out of Jensen Street to make a left turn heading east. It is a wide-open street and the visibility to make that turn is poor. He asked that the applicant correct this problem before any plans are approved. COUNCILMAN BROWN verified with MR. JOFFRE that his name was not on the petition. He found out about this project only yesterday. He would make sure that MR. JOFFRE would be notified of the neighborhood meeting.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(1:19 – 1:34)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0059-00(3) - WPI - ANN/DECATUR, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Review of Condition #3 of an Approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0059-00(1)] WHICH REQUIRED 48-INCH BOX EVERGREEN TREES WITHIN THE PLANTERS ADJACENT TO THE WEST AND NORTH PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, adjacent to the northwest corner of Decatur Boulevard and Ann Road (APN: 125-25-801-014), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations and conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 106 – Z-0059-00(3)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked for clarification on the applicant's justification which states that the property grade is 10 feet lower than the adjacent properties and 48 inch box trees are too large for planting within the terrace retaining wall. ATTORNEY GRONAUER replied that his understanding is that the grading that had to be done on the property due to the drainage from the adjacent property had to go down 10 feet. By doing that, the retaining walls were terraced. Therefore, the 48-inch box trees were too large for planting within the terraced retaining walls. The 24-inch box trees would be more appropriate.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:45 – 1:47)

**5-783**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Condition Number 3 of Site Development Plan Review [Z-0059-00(1)] shall be amended to read:

The landscape plan shall be amended to depict at least 24-inch box evergreen trees planted no more than 15-feet on-center within the planters adjacent to the west and north property boundaries, and 36-inch high berms within the 15-foot wide landscape planters along Ann Road and Decatur Boulevard.

2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval, not amended by this review, of General Plan Amendment (GPA-0018-01), Rezoning (Z-0059-00) Site Development Plan Review [Z-0059-00(1)], and all other subsequent site related actions.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0040-01 - HOWARD HUGHES**

**CORPORATION** - Petition to Vacate an excess portion of Corporate Crossing Road generally located north of Corporate Crossing Road, east of Center Crossing Road, Ward 2 (L B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ELENA ARIANO, GC Wallace Engineering, 1555 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions and recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:47 – 1:48)

**5-862**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 107 – VAC-0040-01

**CONDITIONS:**

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. *(Planning and Development)*
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. *(Planning and Development)*
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. *(Public Works Department)*
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. *(Public Works Department)*
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Commission, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. *(Planning and Development)*

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0075-01 - MATRIX CONSTRUCTION**

**CONSULTING** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A 24 FOOT REAR YARD SETBACK WHERE A 35 FOOT REAR YARD SETBACK IS THE MINIMUM ALLOWED on 0.46 acres at 8200 Omni Court (APN: 125-33-302-013), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack).

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**3**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: A letter of concern from one (1) resident
5. Submitted at meeting: Support petitions with nine signatures

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRETT LEAVITT, 8220 Omni Court, stated that this lot is wide and narrow and the property's CC&RS require a 3400 feet home. Originally a two-story home was designed, but the neighbors asked that it be single-story. He submitted a support petition for the single-story home and for the setbacks to be adjusted in the rear.

RUTH LAVIN, 8201 Adler Circle, appeared in support of the proposed project, as well as for the setback.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 108 – V-0075-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that there were few protests on file, but none were from residents who reside on Omni Court.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:48 – 1:50)

**5-910**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments that are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0031-00** - **AMERICAN STORE PROPERTIES, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE OFF-PREMISE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED ALBERTSON'S CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Craig Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-01-619-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #50 and Item #51.)** The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL. Staff recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions. Staff recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from Attorney Gronauer requesting an abeyance

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 **NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.**, and to accept the **WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE** of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)]

– **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, **MACK** abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and **M. McDONALD** excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:19 – 1:34)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0131-01 - HADLEY ENTERPRISES RESTAURANT FUND ON BEHALF OF SHOW MEDIA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Appeal filed by Show Media LLC from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR ONE (1) 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN adjacent to the north side of Sahara Avenue approximately 270 feet east of Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-02-415-014), C-1 (Service Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****1****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from applicant requesting an abeyance and a letter in protest from one (1) resident

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M., and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)]**

**– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, MACK abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and M. McDONALD excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 110 – U-0131-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no discussion.

(1:19 – 1:34)

**5-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0139-01 - GREENGALE****PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JEFF GALE -**

Request for a Special Use Permit to allow PRIVATE STREETS for Dorrell Lane between Rio Vista Street and Tenaya Way and a portion of Haley Drive between Rio Vista Street and Tenaya Way (APN: 125-22-501-001, 125-22-601-023, 024, 025 and 026), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Cross section schematic design submitted by the Applicant

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions, deleting Conditions #2 and #3, and amending Condition #4 as follows:

4. That no 25 foot wide “trail corridor easement” along Haley Drive be granted to the City. Applicant to vacate existing Trails dedicated by a previous Parcel Map.
  - That the existing portion of the approximate 40 foot wide road surface of Rio Vista Street located within Las Vegas or Clark County be established as a multi-use trail.
  - That the existing portion of the approximate 40 foot wide road surface of Rio Vista Street be deeded to the City or County.
  - No future above or below ground utility installation shall be located within the trail that will in any way encroach upon the full use and enjoyment of the trail. Existing drainage structures may protrude into the trail, provided a minimum of 20 feet of trail width remains.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 111 – U-0139-01

**MOTION – Continued:**

- The trail shall be maintained in such a manner that the trail is perpetually maintained in good condition by property owner(s) or successors in interest who owns the property located west of the trail; such restriction shall be established as covenant running with the land. “Good condition” shall be construed as a surface bladed to maintain a flat surface that contains no protuberances that are dangerous to trail users in the normal use of the trail and a surface dust free by the application of magnesium chloride or other similar substance.
- Appropriate bollards or other similar barriers shall be provided across Rio Vista Street, along the south side of Elkhorn Road; along the north side of Haley Avenue; and at such other locations as may be deemed appropriate by the City to prevent unauthorized vehicles from using the trail. “Unauthorized vehicles” shall be construed as any motorized vehicles other than those used by the City or County; a public utility; by abutting property owners; or those affiliated with abutting property owners.
- If and when the abutting property to the west (APN: 125-22-501-001) is rezoned to a different classification, these conditions, relative to the trail corridor, may be amended as the City deems appropriate.

And the following added condition:

- *The developer shall relocate the existing wetlands along Haley Drive to the other side of the fence onto the developer’s property.*

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DEAN RASMUSON, 3068 Sunset Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and addressed some concerns about the conditions. He asked that Condition #2 be deleted due to the nature of the Special Use Permit in that the applicant is trying to vacate and privatize the roads. He also asked that Condition #3 be deleted because they have worked with the City, as well as the County, to come up with rural preservation standards specific to this area. He asked that he be allowed to comply with the original conditions imposed on the vacation. He further pointed out that he surveyed the width of the road surface and that the narrow portion is exactly 40 feet wide. Therefore, he asked that those paragraphs in Condition #4 referencing the 42 feet be amended to state the width to be 40 feet.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 111 – U-0139-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

Additionally, MR. RASMUSON mentioned that since the corporate boundary goes down the centerline of that road, some of that dedication for that corridor will have to go to the County as well as the City. He asked that part of that condition reflect that it be deeded to the City as well as the County. MR. RASMUSON submitted a cross section design that meets the City and County's vacation conditions.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning & Development Department, stated that the applicant is not clear on what the intent of Conditions #2 and #3. Those are standard conditions attached to all Special Use Permit applications. He does not believe it will be legally defensible to strike them in this particular case when all other Special Use Permits are subject to these conditions as a matter of routine.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, acknowledged that Public Works has no problem adding the words "or County" into the condition as suggested by MR. RASMUSON regarding the deed. However, she emphasized that the City is willing to take the trails for ownership if the County will not. Additionally, the applicant may be required to meet certain street standards at the time of development, even though Condition #3 was deleted.

GRANT TRUMAN, 7145 Haley Drive, stated that he owns four and a half acres facing Haley Drive and the property in question. He does not object to privatization, but asked that the developer relocate the existing wetlands along Haley Drive to the other side of the fence onto the developer's property. He asked that this be included as a condition of approval. He mentioned that some area residents were opposed to this project, but now believe this will be good for the community, particularly for the Gilcrease Ranch. MR. RASMUSON accepted the condition as suggested by MR. TRUMAN.

MR. RASMUSON clarified for COUNCILMAN MACK that dirty City nuisance water is taken and purified through the wetlands and then used as irrigation water. It is an environmentally sound practice. However, due to the neighbors' concerns, the applicant will move the wetlands.

MR. GLORE clarified the intent of the condition regarding two years. That is not a required two-year review in perpetuity, but requires that the Special Use Permit would have to be requested for an extension of time if not acted upon within two years. COUNCILMAN MACK verified with MR. GLORE that if the applicant starts the project within the two-year period they would not have to come back for review of conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 111 – U-0139-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, pointed out that if Condition #3 is being eliminated, the applicant needs to understand that there are still standards that will apply even for private streets. If they do not agree to develop to those standards, they may be back here at some point in the future. MR. RASMUSON stated that many of the rural standards developed do not specifically apply to this cross section and the overlapping of the trails. But he is willing to work with staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:50 – 2:05)

**5-986**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Vacation (VAC-0003-01).
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
4. That no 25 foot wide “trail corridor easement” along Haley Drive be granted to the City. Applicant to vacate existing Trails dedicated by a previous Parcel Map.

That the existing portion of the approximate 42 foot wide road surface of Rio Vista Street located within Las Vegas be established as a multi-use trail.

That the existing portion of the approximate 42-foot wide road surface of Rio Vista Street located within Las Vegas be deeded to the City for trail purposes.

No above or belowground utility installation shall be located within the trail that will in any way encroach upon the full use and enjoyment of the trail. Existing drainage structures may protrude into the trail, provided a minimum of 20 feet of trail width remains.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 111 – U-0139-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

The trail shall be maintained in such a manner that the trail is perpetually maintained in good condition by the property owner(s) or successors in interest who owns the property located west of the trail; such restriction shall be established as covenant running with the land. “Good condition” shall be construed as a surface bladed to maintain a flat surface that contains no protuberances that are dangerous to trail users in the normal use of the trail and a surface that is dust free by the application of magnesium chloride or other similar substance.

Appropriate bollards or other similar barriers shall be provided across Rio Vista Street, along the south side of Elkhorn Road; along the north side of Haley Avenue; and at such other locations as may be deemed appropriate by the City to prevent unauthorized vehicles from using the trail. “Unauthorized vehicles” shall be construed as any motorized vehicles other than those used by the City or County; a public utility; by abutting property owners; or those affiliated with abutting property owners.

If and when the abutting property to the west (APN: 125-22-501-001) is rezoned to a different classification, these conditions, relative to the trail corridor, may be amended as the City deems appropriate.

**Public Works**

5. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Tenaya Way, 50 feet for Elkhorn Road, and a 54 foot radius at the southeast corner of Elkhorn Road and Tenaya Way.
6. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
7. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for VAC-0003-01 and all other site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0080-01 - DAVID LITVAK & VERONIKA HOLMES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-4 (High Density Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone on 0.18 acres at 1306 South Third Street and 211 East Colorado Street (APN: 162-03-110-024 and 027), PROPOSED USE: ANTIQUE STORE, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

NOTE: The initial motion by REESE to abey this item until 1/16/2002 carried unanimously with M. McDONALD excused was rescinded by a subsequent motion by REESE, which carried unanimously with M. McDONALD excused.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that the item be trailed to give the applicants the opportunity to arrive so that they could explain the proposed project. When the applicant did not arrive, COUNCILMAN REESE requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 112 – Z-0080-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

CHRIS GLORE, Supervisor, Planning & Development Department, stated that the applicant thought the meeting was scheduled later in the evening and she was on her way. However, COUNCILMAN REESE moved to hold the item in abeyance. That motion was later rescinded so that the item could be reconsidered.

VERONIKA HOLMES apologized for being late. She discussed with MAYOR GOODMAN her plans to acquire all the small homes so that she can restore them as best as possible to the old railroad house condition and turn them into an antique village. The ultimate goal is to turn the entire street and surrounding areas into a historic area filled with art. She credited MAYOR GOODMAN for the inspiration and enthusiasm for the project she and her neighbors have undertaken. She is excited about the revitalization of Downtown and will do her part to reach that goal. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that the entire Council is to be credited. He offered his office staff's help in facilitating with her plans. He commended the wonderful group of citizens that have moved into the area near the Stratosphere.

MAYOR GOODMAN recalled when he and his wife, during the Jewish Holiday, would walk from their home near Charleston Boulevard and Rancho Drive down to, what was then the Naked City, Oakey Boulevard, all the way down to 17<sup>th</sup> Street. He gradually saw that the neighborhood was deteriorating phenomenally. Many people began to move away and it caused the temple to move out to the Summerlin area. Recently he drove that same neighborhood and saw a difference; people are now proud to live in this area. MS. HOLMES stated she moved from the northwest, bought a house downtown and restored it to its mint 1931 condition. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that it would be very important to have these homes restored in time for the City of Las Vegas centennial celebration in 2005.

COUNCILMAN REESE confirmed MS. HOLMES' concurrence with the conditions and welcomed her to Downtown. He also asked that MS. HOLMES meet with him to discuss this important issue. He stated that COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, who represents part of this area, shares the same feelings about downtown.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 112 – Z-0080-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:05 – 2:06/2:27 – 2:37)

**5-1630/6-356**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to issuance of any building permits, any site grading, and all new development activity on the site.

Public Works

3. Sign and record a Covenant Running with Land agreement for the possible future installation of alley pavement adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
5. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the approval of the Traffic Engineer.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 112 – Z-0080-01

**CONDITIONS:**

in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Third Street and Colorado Street adjacent to this site.
8. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements located in the Third Street and Colorado Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0078-01 - BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT ON BEHALF OF CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) Zone TO: C-V (Civic) Zone on approximately 30 acres located at the northeast corner of Brent Lane and Tee Pee Lane (APN: 125-07-501-002), PROPOSED USE: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition #4 as follows:

4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Iron Mountain Road, *Brent Road* and Tee Pee Lane adjacent to *both proposed school sites* concurrent with development of this site. *If not already constructed at the time of development of this site, construct a minimum of two lanes of paving on Fort Apache Road and extend a minimum of two lanes of paving on Brent Road and Iron Mountain Road from the school sites easterly to Fort Apache Road; temporary paving may be used to fulfill this requirement.* Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 113 – Z-0078-01

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LeCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Annex, appeared with JOHN LOADMAN, JMA Architects, on behalf of the applicant. MR. LeCROIX explained that the 30-acre parcel consists of two independent elementary schools. The first to be built is Bilbray Elementary School to be located to the south, and the anticipated completion date is for the 2003 school year. This school is designed to eliminate the overcrowding at Rhoades Elementary School, which currently has portables for students that would be attending the still unfinished Heckathorn Elementary School slated to open on March of 2002.

MR. LeCROIX addressed Condition #4 in Item 114 [Z-0078-01(1)]. He explained that the Clark County School District provides a standard galvanized chain link fence. This is a maintenance issue with the CCSD. The CCSD funds can be allocated for educational purposes. Therefore, he suggested that a vinyl coating fence be used instead, which is more aesthetically pleasing. Regarding Condition #10, since the schools would be away from the existing development, he requested that the parking lot lighting be 30 feet in height.

COUNCILMAN MACK further explained for MAYOR GOODMAN that originally the residents requested a wrought iron fence along the property lines. Since the CCSD provides only chain link fences, CCSD is willing to provide a vinyl coated cover for the fence.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, expressed her delight about the new elementary school to be built in her neighborhood. The school is desperately needed. Last year Rhoades Elementary School was named the most populated school in the entire State of Nevada, exceeding 1300 students. Heckathorn, which is currently housed on Rhoades Elementary campus in 30 portables, opened with double the enrollment they had projected. She commented that the portables were placed onto playground space, giving students no place to play. Playtime at school is just as important as the academic aspect in order for children to get a well-rounded education. She commended the School District and credited MR. LeCROIX for getting sites identified working with both the City and the County. MS. RUSKAMP asked that the parking lot lighting be kept at 20 feet in height because there will be future development in the area.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, read into the record the amendment to Condition #4. MR. LeCROIX concurred with the amendment.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 113 – Z-0078-01

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. LeCROIX for the planning process he initiated, not only in the City and the County, but the entire northwest. It has been a pleasure to work with him and hopes that his efforts will continue, as he works with different neighborhood groups to address their concerns.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, verified with COUNCILMAN MACK that Condition #4 would be amended to reflect the change of allowing a chain link fence with a vinyl coating cover as presented by MR. LeCROIX. COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that there would be additional growth in this neighborhood. Therefore, he requested that the parking lot lighting remain at 20 feet in height.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 113 [Z-0078-01] and Item 114 [Z-0078-00(1)] was held under Item 113 [Z-0078-01].

(2:06 – 2:09)

**5-1660**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review [Z-0078-01(1)] shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate or obtain dedication or easement rights for 40 feet of right-of-way for Fort Apache Road adjacent to this overall site, 40 feet for Iron Mountain Road, 30 feet for Teepee Lane, 30 feet for Brent Lane prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site. In addition, dedicate or obtain dedication or easement rights for additional rights-of-way in accordance with Standard Drawings #201.1, 234.1, 234.2, and all appropriate intersection corner radii. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the appropriate documents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 113 – Z-0078-01

**MINUTES:**

4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Iron Mountain Road, Fort Apache Road, Brent Road and Tee Pee Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
5. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer alignments to service this site. Extend public sewer in Brent Road to Tee Pee Lane to a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 113 – Z-0078-01

**CONDITIONS:**

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0078-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0078-01(1) - BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT ON BEHALF OF CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review for one (1) Elementary School on approximately 30 acres located at the northeast corner of Brent Lane and Tee Pee Lane (APN: 125-07-501-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED: C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #4 to provide a vinyl coated chain link fence – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LeCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Annex, appeared with JOHN LOADMAN, JMA Architects, on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 114 – Z-0078-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 113 [Z-0078-01] and Item 114 [Z-0078-00(1)] was held under Item 113 [Z-0078-01].

(2:06 – 2:09)

**5-1660**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0078-01) to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Install a wrought iron fence along all property lines. If a block wall is constructed at a later time, it shall be a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the side and rear property lines. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
5. Revise the landscape plan to indicate shrubs and groundcover in accordance with the City of Las Vegas Urban Design Standards and Guidelines Manual.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A revised landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 114 – Z-0078-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
15. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-78-01 (on this same agenda).



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0007-61(2) - WPI-OWENS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WORLD PREMIER INVESTMENTS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 19,326 square foot commercial retail center on the southwest corner of the intersection of Pecos Road and Owens Avenue (APN: 139-25-501-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter from Attorney Amick a withdrawal without prejudice

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M., and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2) – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, MACK abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and M. McDONALD excused.**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:19 – 1:34)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0024-01 - WILDER FAMILY TRUST, ET AL** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southwest Sector Plan FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: M (Medium Density Residential) on approximately 2.21 acres south of Madre Mesa Drive, approximately 650 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-13-701-024, 025, 045, and 046), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID TURNER, Baughman & Turner, Inc., 1210 Hinson Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MR. TURNER stated that the applicant agreed to install an eight-foot wall along the westerly boundary.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, indicated that Condition #5 in zoning Item 117 [Z-0051-01] reflects the deletion of reference to Roberta Lane. At the Planning Commission, a resident asked for a change in one of the conditions. Public Works would like that change reflected on the related Site Development Plan Review when it comes before the City Council in a few weeks.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 116 – GPA-0024-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

Therefore, she indicated that all references to the offsites on Roberta Lane would come at the time of the related Site Development Plan Review. MR. TURNER accepted MS. EDELMAN's amendment of Condition #5.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked the applicant for listening to the residents' concerns.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Discussion pertaining to Item 116 [GPA-0024-01] and Item 117 [Z-0051-01] was held under Item 116 (GPA-0024-01).

(2:13 – 2:15)

**5-2140**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0024-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0051-01 - WILDER FAMILY TRUST, ET AL** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) on approximately 2.21 acres south of Madre Mesa Drive, approximately 650 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-13-701-024, 025, 045, and 046), PROPOSED USE: MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition #5 as follows:

5. **Construct half-street improvements on Madre Mesa Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. *The construction of offsites along Roberta Lane shall be determined at the time of approval of the related Site Development Plan Review.***

– **UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID TURNER, Baughman & Turner, Inc., 1210 Hinson Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 117 – Z-0051-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Discussion pertaining to Item 116 [GPA-0024-01] and Item 117 [Z-0051-01] was held under Item 116 (GPA-0024-01).

(2:13 – 2:15)

**5-2140**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the Planning Commission and City Council at a public hearing prior to development.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.

Public Works

3. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine whether a Reversionary Map to revert the underlying lot lines to acreage is necessary; if such reversionary map is required, it shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site.
4. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for those portions of Madre Mesa not already dedicated prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Construct half-street improvements on Madre Mesa Drive and Roberta Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
6. Extend public sewer in Roberta Lane to the west edge of this development to a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 117 – Z-0051-01

**CONDITIONS:**

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, recordation of a final map or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall address the proposed circulation of traffic through the parking structure and shall address potential gated security areas, if proposed. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a final map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, recordation of a final map or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a final map, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0043-01 - ANN DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to Amend a Portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: GC (General Commercial) on 2.5 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL and staff recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL and staff recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M., and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)]**  
– **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, MACK abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and M. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 118 – GPA-0043-01

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that he would be having a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues regarding Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting. He also requested that Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] also be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting, as he has requested additional information from the Public Works Department. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he would like the opportunity to get the residents' input, since he has not had a chance to meet with them.

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS that the situation with Item 50 and 51 had been handled. He disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications. He would also be abstaining from voting when these items come forward on 1/16/2002. MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 as well. His law partner has an interest in that particular project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the issue with Items 105 and 118 through 121 had been the mailing during the holidays, and that he was unable to meet with many of the residents. He is aware that a gentleman came down to speak on these items and he met with him during the lunch break. He requested that those people that came down and speak on these items be given the courtesy to speak, with the understanding that he would be holding public hearings on all those items throughout the neighborhoods. MAYOR GOODMAN granted COUNCILMAN BROWN his request by bringing forward Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing Open.

MICHELLE WARE, 8590 West Regena Avenue, asked if it would be possible to schedule these items at a time that would be convenient for those people who cannot attend the meeting in the afternoon. COUNCILMAN REESE suggested that the item be scheduled for 4:00 p.m. Time Certain.

ATTORNEY J. T. MORAN, III, 630 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with COUNCILMAN BROWN's abeyance request. He would be happy to meet with any neighbors to address concerns regarding this project. ATTORNEY MORAN wished the Mayor, City Council, and City staff, a Happy New Year.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 118 – GPA-0043-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing Closed.

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-0134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] took place under Item 101 [Any Items] and 118 [GPA-0043-01].

(1:19 – 1:34/1:37)

**5-1/5-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0077-01** - ANN **DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: C-2 (General Commercial) Zone on 2.5 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), PROPOSED USE: USED VEHICLE SALES, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 **NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.**, and to accept the **WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE** of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)] – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, **MACK** abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and **M. McDONALD** excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 119 – Z-0077-01

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that he would be having a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues regarding Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting. He also requested that Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] also be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting, as he has requested additional information from the Public Works Department. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he would like the opportunity to get the residents' input, since he has not had a chance to meet with them.

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS that the situation with Item 50 and 51 had been handled. He disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications. He would also be abstaining from voting when these items come forward on 1/16/2002. MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 as well. His law partner has an interest in that particular project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the issue with Items 105 and 118 through 121 had been the mailing during the holidays, and that he was unable to meet with many of the residents. He is aware that a gentleman came down to speak on these items and he met with him during the lunch break. He requested that those people that came down and speak on these items be given the courtesy to speak, with the understanding that he would be holding public hearings on all those items throughout the neighborhoods. MAYOR GOODMAN granted COUNCILMAN BROWN his request by bringing forward Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)].

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-0134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] took place under Item 101 [Any Items] and 118 [GPA-0043-01].

(1:19 – 1:34/1:37)  
**5-1/5-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 AND Z-0077-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0134-01 - ANN DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR USED MOTOR VEHICLE SALES adjacent to the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M., and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, MACK abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and M. McDONALD excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 120 – U-0134-01

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that he would be having a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues regarding Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting. He also requested that Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] also be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting, as he has requested additional information from the Public Works Department. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he would like the opportunity to get the residents' input, since he has not had a chance to meet with them.

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS that the situation with Item 50 and 51 had been handled. He disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications. He would also be abstaining from voting when these items come forward on 1/16/2002. MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 as well. His law partner has an interest in that particular project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the issue with Items 105 and 118 through 121 had been the mailing during the holidays, and that he was unable to meet with many of the residents. He is aware that a gentleman came down to speak on these items and he met with him during the lunch break. He requested that those people that came down and speak on these items be given the courtesy to speak, with the understanding that he would be holding public hearings on all those items throughout the neighborhoods. MAYOR GOODMAN granted COUNCILMAN BROWN his request by bringing forward Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)].

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-0134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] took place under Item 101 [Any Items] and 118 [GPA-0043-01].

(1:19 – 1:34/1:37)  
**5-1/5-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0043-01, Z-0077-01 AND U-0134-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0077-01(1) - ANN DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review for an 8,500 SQUARE FOOT USED VEHICLE SALES BUILDING AND A REDUCTION IN PERIMETER LANDSCAPING REQUIRED on 2.5 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: GC (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (2-4 vote failed on a motion for approval) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (2-4 vote failed on a motion for approval) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)] to 1/16/2002, Item 109 [U-0031-00] and Item 50 [Liquor License] to 3/6/2002, Item 110 [U-0131-01] to 2/6/2002, Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] to 1/16/2002 **NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.**, and to accept the **WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE** of Item 115 [Z-0007-61(2)] – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 because his law partner has an interest in that particular property, **MACK** abstaining on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications and **M. McDONALD** excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 121 – Z-0077-01(1)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that he would be having a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues regarding Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting. He also requested that Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-00134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] also be held in abeyance to the 1/16/2002 City Council meeting, as he has requested additional information from the Public Works Department. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he would like the opportunity to get the residents' input, since he has not had a chance to meet with them.

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEUMUS that the situation with Item 50 and 51 had been handled. He disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 due to a financial relationship he has with a dealership in proximity of these applications. He would also be abstaining from voting when these items come forward on 1/16/2002. MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he would be abstaining from voting on Items 118 through 121 as well. His law partner has an interest in that particular project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the issue with Items 105 and 118 through 121 had been the mailing during the holidays, and that he was unable to meet with many of the residents. He is aware that a gentleman came down to speak on these items and he met with him during the lunch break. He requested that those people that came down and speak on these items be given the courtesy to speak, with the understanding that he would be holding public hearings on all those items throughout the neighborhoods. MAYOR GOODMAN granted COUNCILMAN BROWN his request by bringing forward Item 105 [Z-0016-96(7)].

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 118 [GPA-0043-01], Item 119 [Z-0077-01], Item 120 [U-0134-01] and Item 121 [Z-0077-01(1)] took place under Item 101 [Any Items] and 118 [GPA-0043-01].

(1:19 – 1:34/1:37)

**5-1/5-446**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE  
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR  
NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

**DB 5824 Evergreen Ave., DB 1308 Silver Lake Dr., DB 2401 W. Bonanza Rd., U-0025-  
96(1), U-0042-01, U-0143-01, U-0144-01, V-0086-01, VAC-0041-01 – 1/16/2002 AGENDA**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ADDENDUM:**

None.

## **AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE** **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2002**

### **CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:**

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

### **MINUTES:**

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, extended her wishes for a Happy New Year to the City Council. She asked that staff look into the following issues.

1. There has been no trash pick up along Washington Avenue, from J to H Streets, and this is creating a health hazard.
2. Construction along Washington Avenue is causing a lot of dust and the developer is not watering down as frequently as he should. The dust is causing problems for the elderly. The mailman does not go down Washington Avenue and the elderly have to pick up their mail at the post office.
3. She is greatly concerned about those senior citizens who are on fixed incomes and cannot pay their electric bills. They are at a point where they have to decide every month whether they pay their power or gas bills or pick up their medication. She is particularly concerned about an elderly woman who has been eating cat food in order to pay her electric bill. She truly hopes that someone will fight against Nevada Power imposing another increase. She asked that help be given to these senior citizens that cannot pay their electric bills.

MAYOR GOODMAN agreed with MS. TURNER and added that the situation might get worse. He read in the newspaper that Sprint would be increasing their fees as well. This is why this Council needs to think out of the box and explore new ways to help the City and its constituents. He pointed out that the City Manager had indicated that there is a delicate balance between being able to get power at all and having government take over power. These are difficult issues, but the City will participate in discussions regarding the power increase.

(2:19 – 2:25)

**5-2340/6-1**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 2, 2002  
Citizen Participation

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, confirmed with COUNCILMAN BROWN that it would be possible to have the neighborhood meeting regarding Items 118 through 121 in conjunction with her next Network Meeting scheduled for 1/14/2002.

(2:25)

**6-140**

MAYOR GOODMAN verified for TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, that he is on the Las Vegas Valley Water District board. MR. FARLOW indicated that his understanding was that the LVVWD was to build their own generating plant. His hope is that this will be explored further. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE was exploring the idea of perhaps the City buying its own power to be able sell it to its residents at a reduced rate. However, the Legislature took that right away from the City. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE added that under the Charter, it was at one time possible for the City to create its own power utility. Through the authority of the Southern Nevada Water Authority, the City still has the ability to buy power from the Colorado River Commission for its own use. Those discussions did not get far along before the authority to do that was taken away from the City.

(2:25 – 2:27)

**6-160**

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 2:37 P.M.**